

COVID-19 induced Lockdown – How is the Hinterland Coping?

A collaborative study by:

PRADAN, Action for Social Advancement, BAIF, Transform Rural India Foundation,
Grameen Sahara, SAATHI-UP and The Aga Khan Rural Support Programme (India)

With research support of:

VikasAnvesh Foundation and Sambodhi

Sincere gratitude

- **To all the villagers we approached in the hinterland for the study, who in these extraordinary times gave their valuable time and input to complete the study .**
- **To all the key personnel, especially field personnel of the partner organizations for their extraordinary efforts to ensure data collection within an extremely tight timeline**
- **Special thanks, to Sridhar Anantha, for assisting the study with his expertize of the KoBo Toolbox for design of instrument, training and back-stopping and a group of friends who translated the questions in multiple languages.**

Objective of the study


- **A rapid assessment of the effect of the COVID-19 induced lockdown on the rural households.**
- **What are the various coping mechanisms undertaken by the rural households?**
- **A consortium of civil society partners undertook a rapid assessment.**
- **Assessment focused on:**
 - **Food security,**
 - **Change in expenditure pattern,**
 - **Readiness for the forthcoming Kharif season,**
 - **Drudgery faced by the women in the household,**
 - **Asset sales.**

Approach to the study

- **Spread – Geographical Coverage as much as possible – From Kamrup to Dang**
- **Speed – Quick turnaround time – 27th April till 2nd May**
- **Simplicity – Compatibility with hand held devices – use of open-access tool (*Kobo*) – closed ended responses – covering *must ask***

Geographical spread


- 5162 Households, 12 States, 47 Districts



States	Districts
Assam	2
Bihar	4
Chattisgarh	4
Gujarat	1
Jharkhand	10
Karnataka	2
Maharashtra	2
Madhya Pradesh	10
Odisha	6
Rajasthan	1
Uttar Pradesh	2
West Bengal	3
Total Districts	47


Key attributes of surveyed households

- In most of the surveyed families migrant members are yet to return
- More than a quarter of the surveyed households reported dependent members (young children, senior citizens, pregnant women, lactating mother)





Workload within the household

- Already an increase in drudgery among the women members in the households with returnee migrants.
- **Only few households have returnee migrants – significant chunk are now returning/ will return**




Dependence on existing food stock

- More than 1/3rd did not have any surplus from last Kharif.
- More than half could not depend on rabi produce for food.
- Around 1/3rd of the respondent reported that Kharif stock would only last till May end.
- **Food provision through PDS and cultivating food crop in Kharif 2020 – important.**




Readiness for *Kharif* 2020

- More than 2/3rd of the respondents do not have seeds for the upcoming Kharif
- Less than 20% have KCC.
- Less than half of the respondents were of the view that they would get crop loans
- **Provision of seeds and credit for the upcoming Kharif season - important**




Reduced income from key livelihood activities

- Lockdown and rumors have adversely affected income
- 23% households sell milk, out of which half have reported reduction in sales
- 56% households are in poultry, out of which more than 40% reported reduction in sales




Coping: Immediate adjustments for food security

- More than half of the households are eating fewer items and less number of times
- Nearly a quarter is depending on borrowing from others in the village
- PDS working for the majority – not reaching to 1/6th of the eligible households




Coping: Postponing discretionary expenses

- Nearly 1/3rd of the respondents reported that there is possibility that children will drop-out of schools
- Postponement and downscaling of ceremonies and purchases reported by nearly a quarter of households




Coping: Borrowing/mortgaging of assets

- At least 1/5th of the families depended on family networks for borrowing
- Borrowing from moneylender also reported
- **Indebtedness rising?**
- Mortgage of household items and sale of liquid assets already taking place



Coping: Sale of productive assets

- Has implication on the long term economic base of the household
- Expected to manifest when a shock/stress has a prolonged/intense effect.
- Though less, but around (3-5)% of the respondents reported asset sales



To summarize (1/2)

- Households have depended on Kharif stock more than Rabi – but that stock is now depleting fast.
- Households are coping with the shock by eating less food and lesser number of times and with large dependence on PDS
- **Need for increased food support through PDS and promotion for food crop cultivation in Kharif**
- Preparedness for Kharif 2020 is low - **need for public support in terms of seed provision and credit for Kharif 2020.**
- Large chunk of migrants yet to return – but already the increased workload enhances the drudgery faced by the women.

To summarize (2/2)

- **Lockdown and rumors have indeed adversely affected income – dairy and poultry**
- **Coping mechanisms mostly clustered around change in food habits and reduction in expenditures**
- **Borrowing is taking place – indebtedness might increase if the effect of shock prevails**
- **Asset sales still low - but already reported by a small fraction of respondents**
- **Gives a snapshot – to understand how the hinterland is getting affected progressively – more rounds will be needed.**